

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVE	NTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/479,549	01/07/00	ACKLEY, JR.	· -	E	2280.2470
005514		IM62/0406	\neg	E	EXAMINER
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO				WEINSTEIN,S	
30 ROCKEFEL NEW YORK NY				ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
14770 171717 141	10411			1761	(
				DATE MAILED:	04/06/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

-	Application No. A. Cant(s)	
	09/479849 Acket 61 NL	
Office Action Summary	Examiner Group Art Unit / 76/	
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	rs on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence addre	ess—
Period for Reply	O EXPIREMONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING	DATE '
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.		
from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a second of the period of	1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) No eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered time, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status		
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on		·
☐ This action is FINAL.		. •
 Since this application is in condition for allowance excep accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 19 	t for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed 35 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.	l in
Disposition of Claims		.a
(2) Claim(s)	is/are pending in the applica	uion.
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consider	deration.
□ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.	•
☐ Claim(s)	is/are rejected.	
□ Claim(s)———————	is/are objected to.	
□ Claim(s) /-5 8	are subject to restriction or requirement.	election
Application Papers		
t Due flore annual a Detent Drove	ing Review, PTO-948.	
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw	mg rionom, i to o is	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is \square approved \square disapproved.	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are obj	is \square approved \square disapproved.	
 □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are obj □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are obj □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 	is 🗌 approved 🗔 disapproved. ected to by the Examiner.	
 □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are obj □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are obj □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 	is 🗌 approved 🗔 disapproved. ected to by the Examiner.	
 □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are obj □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are obj □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)	is □ approved □ disapproved. ected to by the Examiner.	
 □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies □ received. 	is approved disapproved. ected to by the Examiner. under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). of the priority documents have been	
 □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved disapproved. ected to by the Examiner. under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). of the priority documents have been aber) international Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).	
 □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved disapproved. ected to by the Examiner. under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). of the priority documents have been aber) international Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).	
 □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved disapproved. ected to by the Examiner. under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). of the priority documents have been aber) nternational Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are obj ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are obj ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies ☐ received. ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nur ☐ received in this national stage application from the *Certified copies not received:	is approved disapproved. ected to by the Examiner. under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). of the priority documents have been aber) nternational Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)). r No(s) Interview Summary, PTO-413	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are obj ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are obj ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies ☐ received. ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nur ☐ received in this national stage application from the *Certified copies not received: Attachment(s)	is approved disapproved. ected to by the Examiner. under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). of the priority documents have been aber) international Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).	

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No.

Application/Control Number: 09/479,549

Page 2

Art Unit: 1761

DETAILED ACTION

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 USC 121.

Group I: Claims 1-16 and 27-32 drawn to a method of printing edibles classifiable in class 426, subclass 383.

Group II: Claims 17-22, and 33-45 drawn to a-n apparatus for printing edibles classifiable in class 101.

ÆÐ

Group III: Claim 23-26 drawn to an edible classifiable in class 426, subclass 87.

Group IV: Claims 47-58 drawn to a carrier bar for carrying the edibles.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because: The apparatus of Group II and the apparatus of Group IV can be used in methods other than that recited in Group I. For example, the apparatus of Group II could be used to print images on inedible objects as well as edible objects. The apparatus of Group II could be used to print images on buttons, closure caps, decorative pins, etc. Similarly, the apparatus of Group IV could be used to transport such articles. The product of Group III can be made by methods other than that recited in Group I. For example, the edibles to be printed could remain stationary and one or more nozzles could be moved around the edibles or the edibles could be decorated by hand. Further, the carrier bar of Group IV can be used in apparatus other than that recited in Group II. For example, the carrier bar would not have to be used in a printing apparatus but could be used as a transfer device in any process/apparatus when a product is to be moved from one position to another.

લોક

i uje

.

applicants

Art Unit: 1761

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art requiring separate searches as shown by their different classification restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

าเป็นนั้นแ

r turi

In addition, if elect either the method of Group I or the apparatus of Group II, applicants must elect from the following species requirement.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Species I, wherein the articles are held by differential pressure

Species II, wherein the articles are held by a retaining member

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/479;549

Page 4

Art Unit: 1761

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention

mins

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to MR. WEISTEIN at telephone number (703) 308-0650.

5.78代第16.7

Weinstein/af

March 30, 2001

Corrected

April 4, 2001

STEVEN WEINSTEIN
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 132/76/
4/6/0/